

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,870	01/05/2004	Takeshi Satoh	57454-994	3233	
75	590 10/21/2005		EXAMINER		
McDermott, Will & Emery 600 13th Street, N.W			JOHNSON, EDWARD M		
	C 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1754	1754	
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/750,870	SATOH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Edward M. Johnson	1754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	oss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Au	ıgust 2005.	•				
	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	·					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are withdrawn t	from consideration.					
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Sta	age			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	of the certified copies not receive	u.				
Amasharana(a)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application (PTO-15	(2)			
	, <u> </u>					

Application/Control Number: 10/750,870

Art Unit: 1754

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakahata et al. US 6,284,690 in view of Kato et al. US 5,603,877.

Regarding claims 1 and 9, Nakahata '690 discloses a method of making porous Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> comprising mixing a sintering aid (abstract) comprising 0.5-17% of a rare earth element (see column 3, lines 39-42); adding binder; molding (column 2, lines 32-35); heating at 200-800 degrees C (see column 1, lines 64-66) removing the binder (see column 4, lines 38-41); then nitriding and sintering by raising the temperature from 800 to 1800 in the nitrogen atmosphere (see column 5, lines 30-33).

Nakahata fails to disclose a pressure of 0.1-1 atmosphere.

Kato '877 discloses a sintering pressure of at least 0.1 or 5-20 atmG.

Art Unit: 1754

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the pressure of at least 0.1 or 5-20 atmG of Kato in the silicon nitride sintering process of Nakahata because Kato discloses the sintering pressure in a sintering process for making silicon nitride (see title, abstract) to obtain "a denser ceramic structure" (see column 4, lines 50-52), and also because Nakahata '690 discloses heating in a "nitrogen atmosphere" (see Example 1), which would obviously, to one of ordinary skill, at least motivate an ambient pressure of about one atmosphere including up to 3 atm.

Regarding claim 2, Nakahata '690 discloses composite compounds containing "at least one of" rare earth and Group II compounds (see column 3, lines 25-35).

Regarding claims 3-4, Kato '877 discloses a sintering pressure of at least 0.1 or 5-20 atmG.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 8/31/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is argued that applicants submit that claim 1 and newly added claim 9 are free from the applied art. This is not persuasive because it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account

Application/Control Number: 10/750,870

Art Unit: 1754

only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

It is argued that moreover, the Examiner relied on the secondary reference to Kato in an attempt to remedy the deficiencies of Nakahata. This is not persuasive because the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See Ex parte Obiaya, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985). Further, Kato is not relied upon for a disclosure of powder, which is disclosed in Nakahata. One cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

It is argued that furthermore, neither Nakahata nor Kato suggests or teaches the condition of pressure in the nitriding step. This is not persuasive because it would have been within the purview of one of ordinary skill to employ the already disclosed elevated pressure of the sintering step in nitriding

Application/Control Number: 10/750,870

Art Unit: 1754

step and, in any case, Nakahata '690 discloses heating in a "nitrogen atmosphere" (see Example 1), which would obviously, to one of ordinary skill, at least motivate an ambient pressure of about one atmosphere including up to 3 atm.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward M.

Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1352. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edward M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754 Page 6

**EMJ**